

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,796	08/04/2003	Timothy P. Beaton	05516/045003	7248	
7590 12/09/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROSENTHAL & OSHA L.L.P. Suite 2800			GAY, JENNIFER HAWKINS		
1221 McKinney Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Houston, TX 77010			3672		
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-+			
Office Action Summary		10/633,796	BEATON, TIMOTHY	P. <b>/</b>			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
·		Jennifer H Gay	3672	\			
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess			
THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time ma after SIX (6) MONTHS  - If the period for reply of the period for reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. As the available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.6 from the mailing date of this communication. Specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailing lijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status			,				
1)⊠ Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on 18 O	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action	is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claim	ns						
4a) Of the a 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14</u> 7)□ Claim(s)	D-17 is/are pending in the application bove claim(s) is/are withdraw D-13,16,17 is/are allowed.  I and 15 is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		·			
Application Papers							
9) The specific	ation is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	t drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex			` '			
Priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledg a) All b) Certii 2. Certii 3. Copie	ment is made of a claim for foreign   Some * c)   None of: fied copies of the priority document fied copies of the priority document es of the certified copies of the priority document cation from the International Bureauthed detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Reference		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tte	5) Notice of Informal P		52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/633,796 Page 2

Art Unit: 3672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because that abstract includes the implied phrase "is disclosed". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Majkovic (US 6,213,229).

Regarding claim 14: Majkovic discloses a reaming tool that includes the following features:

Application/Control Number: 10/633,796 Page 3

Art Unit: 3672

A body that is adapted to a couple to a drill string at both axial ends thereof (Figure 1).

A plurality of reaming blades 30 affixed to the body. The blades have at least one cutter or insert 32 attached to a laterally outermost surface of the blades.

Regarding claim 15: The blades are arranged in a spiral structure (Figure 1).

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 10-13, 16, and 17 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant did not address the objection to the specification given in the previous Office Action thus the objection is repeated above.
- 7. In view of applicant's argument and the Terminal Disclaimer filed 18 October 2004, the rejection of claims 16 and 17 has been withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Doster et al. discloses a reaming tool similar to that of Majkovic.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H Gay whose telephone number is (703) 308-2881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00 and Friday, 6:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,796

Art Unit: 3672

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer H Gay Patent Examiner Art Unit 3672

JHG December 7, 2004